

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,625	11/03/2000	Xiu Xiu Cheng	300.1012	6705	
23280 7	590 10/22/2002				
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			EXAMINER		
485 SEVENTI NEW YORK, I	I AVENUE, 14TH FLO NY 10018	OOR	WARE, TODD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	_	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, .									
		Application	on N .		Applicant(s)				
	09/705,62	25		CHENG ET AL.					
•	Examiner	•		Art Unit					
		Todd D W			1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION USIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the model of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and within the cause the app	ent, howev utory minir Il expire S lication to	rer, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this α) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>08 July 2002</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-fin	al.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unon of Claims	lowance excep der <i>Ex parte Q</i>	t for for <i>uayle</i> , <i>'</i>	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
·	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	equirem	nent.					
Application	on Papers								
,—	The specification is objected to by the Exam								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				(1) (0)	•			
<i>,</i> —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority un	der 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (t).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)□ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		•						
Attachment	(s)	-		-					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		5) 🔲 1		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/705,625 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of request for extension of time (granted), amendment and terminal disclaimer all filed 7-8-02 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 2, 3, 22, 23, 25, 26 have been amended as requested. Claims 1-34 are pending. Based upon the new grounds for rejection, the instant Office Action is "non-final."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 3. The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factors to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. Citing *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (BdApls 1986) at 547 the court recited eight factors:
 - 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/705,625

Art Unit: 1615

- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided,
- 3) the presence of absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

Applicant fails to set forth the criteria that defines the dosage form or steps in the production of the composition that results in the dosage form having the instant claimed plasma profile. Additionally, Applicant fails to provide information allowing the skilled artisan to ascertain the plasma profile without undue experimentation. In the instant case, the provided examples set forth dosage forms made according to a process where the dosage forms have the same composition as those of US 6,099,859 ('859). However, '859 discloses that the peak plasma profile is approximately 8-12 hours after administration, whereas the instant specification/claims state that the dosage forms, which appear to have the same composition and process of making as '859, have a peak plasma profile of 5.5-7.5 hours. It is noted that these examples are neither exhaustive, nor define the class of compounds required. The pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The instant claims read on all antihyperglycemic drug compositions where the maximum plasma concentration occurs from 5.5-7.5 hours after administration, necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed Application/Control Number: 09/705,625

Art Unit: 1615

invention. Applicants fail to provide information sufficient to practice the claimed

invention, absent undue experimentation.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
- indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

6. Recitation of "based on" in claims 22-25 is indefinite since it is unclear whether

Applicant is claiming that the dose of administration for metformin is "X" mg after an

evening meal or whether another dose of metformin provides these limitations. In the

event the AUC_{0-infinity} for a particular dose of metformin is claimed, amendment with "for

administration" is suggested to overcome the instant rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheng et al (WO 99/47125; hereafter '125).

Application/Control Number: 09/705,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

9. '125 discloses controlled release antihyperglycemic dosage form that has the same composition taught by the specification as providing the instant mean fluctuation indexes.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 7-8-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the dosage forms of '125 do not disclose the same plasma profiles as in instant claims 1-31, however, the instant claims are not limited to plasma profiles. It is again submitted that the instant dosage forms are the same as those of '125 and that they would have the same mean fluctuation index.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

tw

October 20, 2002

HURNAN X PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1000